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## BACKGROUND

Second language (L2) learning is not a one-size-fits-all process (Dörnyei \& Skehan, 2003; Ellis, 2004
Individual factors (e.g. age, amount of input, motivation, etc.) play a considerable role in L2 learning, especially in the speech perception domain (Akahane-Yamada, 1995; Flege et al. 1997; Flege \& Liu, 2001; inter alia) Some individual factors have received a lot of attention, while others, like personality, have not

## Main Research Question

How does personality, as assessed by the Big Five Inventory (John et a, 1991) and the Autism Spectrum Quotient (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001), affect French nasal vowel identification by intermediate/advanced American learners of French?
Table 1 . Personality traits assessed by the Bif Five Inventory and the Autism Spectrum Quotient and the
description of each trait (reperesentee by b higis score in that trait) Trait Openness Description
roadly defined as encompassing dimensions like
imagination, adventurousness, curiosity,
unconventionality, perceptiveness, high competence, and aesthetic sensitivity

Conscientiousness
Extraversion

Agreeableness
y trat of being careful or diligen
describes people who gain energy from being around thers, are often talkative, gregarious, assertive, and easily exitable
describes those who are perceived as kind, sympathetic, corative, warm and considerate
Neuroticism describes one who is more likely to feel anxiety, fear, anger, frustration, loneliness, etc.
Social Skill describes people who find social situations easy, prefer to do things with others, and enjoy social situations and meeting new peopl
Attention Switching describes people who are good multi-taskers, enjoy doing hings spontaneously, and can return to a task easily afte eing interrupted
escribes people who concentrate on small details rather han the whole picture and tend to notice details that others may not ancribes people who enjoy communicating with others cribeep a conversation going describes people who find making up stories easily, enjoy their minds

## METHODS

## Participants

24 native speakers of American English (10 male, 14 female; mean age
35.83 y.o, SD=13.01) recruited on Prolific

All participants indicated via Prolific that they had knowledge of French and scored in the $49^{\text {th }}$ percentile on the LexTALE-FR (Brysbaert, 2013)

## Materials

Nasal identification stimuli (Inceoglu, 2019)
Recorded by a native speaker of French (female, 31 y.o.)
108 CVC items with one of three French nasal vowels: /亏̃/, /ã/, or / $/ /$ Initial and final consonants were distributed between manner and place of articulation (six items per manner/place, 108 stimuli total) - ex: pompe [pว̃p] and singe [sz̃3]

METHODS CONT.

## Materials cont

Personality surveys
Participants were asked to respond with how much they agree to 100 Likert scale statements (50 questions/survey)

- Likert scale ratings were tabulated and centered in order to acquire a score for each trait

Procedures

- All participants were pre-screened prior to participation where they completed the LexTALE-FR and provided information about demographics and their L2 experience
Nasal identification procedures (Inceoglu, 2019)
Participants were presented a stimulus item orally and were asked to select one of the three nasal vowels:/ $\tilde{\jmath} / / \tilde{a} /$ or / $\tilde{\varepsilon} /$
-Nasal vowels were presented orthographically as "on", "an", or "un"
Screen automatically progressed after $4,000 \mathrm{~ms}$
Personality survey procedures
- Participants were presented with a statement and asked to rate how much they agreed with it - Screen automatically progressed after $10,000 \mathrm{~ms}$


## Analysis

- Mixed effects binomial logistic regression

Dependent variable: Response (Correct vs. Incorrect)
Fixed effects: L2 percentile (as determined by the LexTALE-FR), Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Neuroticism, Social Skill, Attention Switching, Attention to Detail, Communication, and Imagination
Random effects: Subject, Item, Vowe


RESULTS
Results revealed a significant effect of $L 2$ percentile, suggesting that the higher a participants' proficiency was in French, the better the performed on the nasal identification task (Fig. 1)
A significant effect of Neuroticism was revealed; the higher a participant scored in Neuroticism, the more likely they were to identify the correct French nasal vowel (Fig. 2)
Participants who scored higher in Conscientiousness were significantly less likely to respond with the correct French nasal vowel (Fig. 3) High Attention to Detail significantly corresponded to fewer correc responses (Fig. 4)

| Predictors | Odds Ratios | Standard Error | CI | Statistic | p -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Intercept) | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.02-0.58 | -2.57 | 0.010 |
| L2 percentile | 1.03 | 0.01 | 1.01-1.05 | 2.90 | 0.004 |
| Openness | 1.03 | 0.03 | 0.98-1.08 | 1.30 | 0.194 |
| Conscientiousness | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.85-0.96 | -3.18 | 0.001 |
| Extraversion | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.89-1.03 | -1.06 | 0.291 |
| Agreeableness | 1.05 | 0.03 | 0.99-1.10 | 1.67 | 0.094 |
| Neuroticism | 1.06 | 0.03 | 1.01-1.12 | 2.42 | 0.015 |
| Social Skill | 0.89 | 0.11 | 0.70-1.14 | -0.92 | 0.359 |
| Attention Switching | 1.15 | 0.10 | 0.97-1.36 | 1.65 | 0.098 |
| Attention to Detail | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.70-0.96 | -2.44 | 0.015 |
| Communication | 0.90 | 0.13 | 0.68-1.19 | -0.75 | 0.453 |
| Imagination | 1.15 | 0.12 | 0.95-1.40 | 1.41 | 0.158 |

## DISCUSSION

Unsurprisingly, L2 learners who were more proficient in French performed better at the nasal identification task than those who were less proficient, suggesting that they are more target-like in their L2 perception The trait Neuroticism (likeliness to feel anxiety and respond worse to stressors) significantly contributed to target-like perception L2 learners with high scores in Neuroticism are more sensitive to stressors and may try to answer accurately in order to maximize social comfort
L2 learners who were more careful, attentive, and diligent (represented by high scores in Conscientiousness and/or Attention to Detail) were significantly less target-like in their perception

These L2 learners might be more likely to get caught up in a task and focus on details not relevant for categorical speech perception - Future research should expand on these results by including surveys that further unpack the traits revealed to be significant predictors of target-like perception in order to determine what aspects of these traits are beneficial/disadvantageous
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